



Search ...

Sep 22, 2021

756

30 facts you NEED to know: Your Covid Cribsheet

You asked for it, so we made it. A collection of all the arguments you'll ever need.

Kit Knightly



We get a lot of e-mails and private messages along these lines “do you have a source for X?” or “can you point me to mask studies?” or “I know I saw a graph for mortality, but I can’t find it anymore”. And we understand, it’s been a long 18

months, and there are so many statistics and numbers to try and keep straight in your head.

So, to deal with all these requests, we decided to make a bullet-pointed and sourced list for all the key points. A one-stop-shop.

Here are key facts and sources about the alleged “pandemic”, that will help you get a grasp on what has happened to the world since January 2020, and help you enlighten any of your friends who might be still trapped in the New Normal fog (click links to skip):

“Covid deaths” – Lockdowns – PCR Tests – “asymptomatic infection” – Ventilators – Masks – Vaccines – Deception & Foreknowledge

*

PART I: “COVID DEATHS” & MORTALITY

1. The survival rate of “Covid” is over 99%. Government medical experts went out of their way to underline, from the beginning of the pandemic, that *the vast majority of the population are not in any danger from Covid*.


UK Gov't confirms Covid19 harmless to VAST MAJORITY of people



Almost all studies on the infection-fatality ratio (IFR) of Covid have **returned results between 0.04% and 0.5%**. Meaning Covid's survival rate is at least 99.5%.


*

2. There has been NO unusual excess mortality. The press has called 2020 the UK's "deadliest year since world war two", but this is misleading because it ignores the massive increase in the population since that time. A more reasonable statistical measure of mortality is Age-Standardised Mortality Rate (ASMR):



By this measure, 2020 isn't even the worst year for mortality *since* 2000, In fact since 1943 *only 9 years have been better than 2020.*

Similarly, in the US the ASMR for 2020 is only at 2004 levels:



For a detailed breakdown of how Covid affected mortality across Western Europe and the US click [here](#). What increases in mortality we have seen could be attributable to non-Covid causes [facts 7, 9 & 19].

*

3. “Covid death” counts are artificially inflated. Countries around the globe have been defining a “Covid death” as a “*death by any cause within 28/30/60 days of a positive test*”.

Healthcare officials from Italy, Germany, the UK, US, Northern Ireland and others **have all admitted to this practice:**

Dr. Ngozi Ezike | How COVID Deaths are Classified



Removing any distinction between dying of Covid, and dying of something else *after testing positive for Covid* will naturally lead to over-counting of “Covid deaths”. British pathologist Dr John Lee was warning of this **“substantial over-estimate”** as early as last spring. Other **mainstream sources** have **reported it**, too.

Considering the huge percentage of “asymptomatic” Covid infections [14], the well-known prevalence of serious comorbidities [fact 4] and the potential for false-positive tests [fact 18], this renders the Covid death numbers an extremely unreliable statistic.

*

4. The vast majority of covid deaths have serious comorbidities. In March 2020, the Italian government published statistics showing 99.2% of their “Covid deaths” **had at least one serious comorbidity**.

These included cancer, heart disease, dementia, Alzheimer’s, kidney failure and diabetes (among others). Over 50% of them had **three or more** serious pre-existing conditions.

This pattern has held up in all other countries over the course of the “pandemic”. An October 2020 FOIA request to the UK’s ONS revealed **less than 10% of the official “Covid death” count at that time** had Covid as the sole cause of death.

*


5. Average age of “Covid death” is greater than the average life expectancy. The average age of a “Covid death” in the UK is **82.5 years**. In Italy it’s 86. Germany, 83. Switzerland, 86. Canada, 86. The US, 78, Australia, 82.

In almost all cases the **median age of a “Covid death”** *is higher than the national life expectancy.*

As such, for most of the world, the “pandemic” has had little-to-no impact on life expectancy. Contrast this with the Spanish flu, which saw a 28% drop in life expectancy in the US in just over a year. [**source**]


*

6. Covid mortality exactly mirrors the natural mortality curve. **Statistical studies** from the UK **and India** have shown that the curve for “Covid death” follows the curve for expected mortality almost exactly:



Chances of dying from coronavirus v normal annual risk

Risk of dying each year by age (GB)



The risk of death “from Covid” follows, almost exactly, your **background risk of death in general.**

The small increase for some of the older age groups can be accounted for by other factors.[facts **7, 9 & 19**]

*

7. There has been a massive increase in the use of “unlawful” DNRs. Watchdogs and government agencies have reported huge increases in the use of Do Not Resuscitate Orders (DNRs) over the last twenty months.

In the US, hospitals considered **“universal DNRs”** for any patient who tested positive for Covid, and whistleblowing nurses **have admitted the DNR system was abused** in New York.

In the UK there was an **“unprecedented” rise** in **“illegal” DNRs** for disabled people, GP surgeries sent out letters to non-terminal patients recommending they sign DNR orders, whilst other doctors signed **“blanket DNRs”** for **entire nursing homes**.

A **study done by Sheffield Univerisity** found over one-third of all “suspected” Covid patients had a DNR attached to their file within 24 hours of hospital admission.


Blanket use of coerced or illegal DNR orders could account for any increases in mortality in 2020/21.[Facts **2 & 6**]

*


PART II: LOCKDOWNS

8. Lockdowns do not prevent the spread of disease. There is little to no evidence lockdowns have any impact on limiting “Covid

deaths”. If you compare regions that locked down to **regions that did not**, you can see no pattern at all.



“Covid deaths” in Florida (no lockdown) vs California (lockdown)



"Covid deaths" in Sweden (no lockdown) vs UK (lockdown)

*

9. Lockdowns kill people. There is strong evidence that lockdowns – through social, economic and other public health damage – are **deadlier than the “virus”**.

Dr David Nabarro, World Health Organization special envoy for Covid-19 described lockdowns as a “global catastrophe” in October 2020:

“ *We in the World Health Organization do not advocate lockdowns as the primary means of control of the virus[...] it seems we may have a doubling of world poverty by next year. We may well have at least a doubling of child malnutrition [...] This is a terrible, ghastly global catastrophe.*”

A UN report from April 2020 warned of **100,000s of children being killed** by the economic impact of lockdowns, while **tens of millions more** face possible poverty and famine.

Unemployment, poverty, **suicide**, alcoholism, drug use and other social/mental health crises are spiking all over the world. While missed and **delayed surgeries** and **screenings** are going to see increased mortality from heart disease, cancer et al. in the near future.

The impact of lockdown would account for the small increases in excess mortality [Facts **2** & **6**]

*

10. Hospitals were never unusually over-burdened. the main argument used to defend lockdowns is that “flattening the curve” would prevent a rapid influx of cases and protect healthcare systems from collapse. But most healthcare systems were never close to collapse at all.

In March 2020 it was reported that hospitals in Spain and Italy were over-flowing with patients, but this happens every flu season. In 2017 Spanish hospitals **were at 200% capacity**, and 2015 **saw patients sleeping in corridors**. A paper JAMA paper from March 2020 found that Italian hospitals **“typically run at 85-90% capacity in the winter months”**.

In the UK, the NHS is **regularly stretched to breaking point over the winter**.

As part of their Covid policy, **the NHS announced in Spring of 2020** that they would be “*re-organizing hospital capacity in new ways to treat Covid and non-Covid patients separately*” and that “*as result hospitals will experience capacity pressures at lower overall occupancy rates than would previously have been the case.*”

This means **they removed thousands of beds**. During an alleged deadly pandemic, they reduced the maximum occupancy of hospitals. Despite this, the NHS never felt pressure beyond your typical flu season, and at times actually had **4x more empty beds than normal**.

In both the UK and US millions were spent on **temporary emergency hospitals** that **were never used**.

*

PART III: PCR TESTS

11. PCR tests were not designed to diagnose illness. The Reverse-Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) test is described in the media as the “gold standard” for Covid diagnosis. But the Nobel Prize-winning inventor of the process never intended it to be used as a diagnostic tool, and **said so publicly**:

“PCR is just a process that allows you to make a whole lot of something out of something. It doesn't tell you that you are sick, or that the thing that you ended up with was going to hurt you or anything like that.”

*

12. PCR Tests have a history of being inaccurate and unreliable. The “gold standard” PCR tests for Covid are known to produce a lot of false-positive results, by reacting to DNA material that is not specific to Sars-Cov-2.

A Chinese study found the same patient could get two different results **from the same test on the same day**. In Germany, tests are **known to have reacted to common cold viruses**. A 2006 study found **PCR tests**

for one virus responded to other viruses too. In 2007, a reliance on PCR tests resulted in an “outbreak” of Whooping Cough **that never actually existed.** Some tests in the US even reacted **to the negative control sample.**

The **late President of Tanzania**, John Magufuli, submitted samples goat, pawpaw and motor oil for PCR testing, **all came back positive for the virus.**

As early as February of 2020 experts were admitting the test was unreliable. Dr Wang Cheng, president of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences told Chinese state television “*The accuracy of the tests is only 30-50%*”. The Australian government’s **own website claimed** “*There is limited evidence available to assess the accuracy and clinical utility of available COVID-19 tests.*” And a **Portuguese court ruled that PCR tests were “unreliable”** and should not be used for diagnosis.

You can read detailed breakdowns of the failings of PCR tests **here**, **here** and **here**.

*

13. The CT values of the PCR tests are too high. PCR tests are run in cycles, the number of cycles you use to get your result is known as your “cycle threshold” or CT value. **Kary Mullis said:** “*If you have to go more than 40 cycles[...]there is something seriously wrong with your PCR.*”

The **MIQE PCR guidelines** agree, stating: “*[CT] values higher than 40 are suspect because of the implied low efficiency and generally should not be reported,*” Dr Fauci himself even **admitted anything over 35 cycles is almost never culturable.**

Dr Juliet Morrison, virologist at the University of California, Riverside, **told the New York Times**: *Any test with a cycle threshold above 35 is too sensitive...I'm shocked that people would think that 40 [cycles] could represent a positive...A more reasonable cutoff would be 30 to 35".*

In the same article Dr Michael Mina, of the Harvard School of Public Health, said the limit should be 30, and the author goes on to point out that reducing the CT from 40 to 30 would have reduced "covid cases" in some states **by as much as 90%**.

The **CDC's own data suggests** no sample over 33 cycles could be cultured, and Germany's Robert Koch Institute says **nothing over 30 cycles is likely to be infectious**.

Despite this, it is known almost all the labs in the US are running their tests **at least 37 cycles and sometimes as high as 45**. The NHS **"standard operating procedure" for PCR tests** rules set the limit at 40 cycles.

Based on what we know about the CT values, the majority of PCR test results are at best questionable.

*

14. The World Health Organization (Twice) Admitted PCR tests produced false positives. In December 2020 WHO put out a **briefing memo on the PCR process** instructing labs to be wary of high CT values causing false positive results:

“ *when specimens return a high Ct value, it means that many cycles were required to detect virus. In some*

circumstances, the distinction between background noise and actual presence of the target virus is difficult to ascertain.

Then, in January 2021, **the WHO released another memo**, this time warning that “asymptomatic” positive PCR tests should be re-tested because they might be false positives:

“ *Where test results do not correspond with the clinical presentation, a new specimen should be taken and retested using the same or different NAT technology.*

*

15. The scientific basis for Covid tests is questionable. The genome of the Sars-Cov-2 virus was supposedly sequenced by Chinese scientists in December 2019, then published on January 10th 2020. Less than two weeks later, German virologists (Christian Drosten et al.) had allegedly used the genome to create assays for PCR tests.

They wrote a paper, ***Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR***, which was submitted for publication on January 21st 2020, and then accepted on January 22nd. Meaning the paper was **allegedly “peer-reviewed” in less than 24 hours**. A process that typically takes weeks.

Since then, a consortium of over forty life scientists has petitioned for the withdrawal of the paper, writing a lengthy report detailing **10 major errors in the paper's methodology**.

They have also requested the release of the journal's peer-review report, to prove the paper really did pass through the peer-review process. The journal has yet to comply.

The Corman-Drosten assays are the root of every Covid PCR test in the world. If the paper is questionable, every PCR test is also questionable.

*

PART IV: "ASYMPTOMATIC INFECTION"

16. The majority of Covid infections are "asymptomatic".

From as early as March 2020, studies done in Italy were suggesting **50-75% of positive Covid tests had no symptoms**. Another UK study from August 2020 found as **much as 86% of "Covid patients"** *experienced no viral symptoms at all*.

It is literally impossible to tell the difference between an "asymptomatic case" and a false-positive test result.

*

17. There is very little evidence supporting the alleged danger of "asymptomatic transmission". In June 2020, Dr Maria Van Kerkhove, head of the WHO's emerging diseases and zoonosis unit, **said**:

“ *From the data we have, it still seems to be rare that an asymptomatic person actually transmits onward to a secondary individual,”*

A meta-analysis of Covid studies, published by Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA) in December 2020, found that asymptomatic carriers had a **less than 1% chance of infecting people within their household**. Another study, done on **influenza in 2009**, found:

“ *...limited evidence to suggest the importance of [asymptomatic] transmission. The role of asymptomatic or presymptomatic influenza-infected individuals in disease transmission may have been overestimated...”*

Given the known flaws of the PCR tests, many “asymptomatic cases” may be false positives. [**fact 14**]

*

PART V: VENTILATORS

18. Ventilation is NOT a treatment for respiratory viruses. Mechanical ventilation is not, and never has been, recommended

treatment for respiratory infection of any kind. In the early days of the pandemic, many doctors came forward questioning the use of ventilators to treat “Covid”.

Writing in The Spectator, Dr Matt Strauss stated:

“ *Ventilators do not cure any disease. They can fill your lungs with air when you find yourself unable to do so yourself. They are associated with lung diseases in the public’s consciousness, but this is not in fact their most common or most appropriate application.*

German Pulmonologist Dr Thomas Voshaar, chairman of Association of Pneumatological Clinics **said**:

“ *When we read the first studies and reports from China and Italy, we immediately asked ourselves why intubation was so common there. This contradicted our clinical experience with viral pneumonia.*

Despite this, the **WHO**, **CDC**, **ECDC** and **NHS** all “recommended” Covid patients be ventilated instead of using non-invasive methods.

This was **not a medical policy designed to best treat the patients**, but rather to reduce the hypothetical spread of Covid by preventing

patients from exhaling aerosol droplets.

*

19. Ventilators killed people. Putting someone on a ventilator who is suffering from influenza, pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or any other condition which restricts breathing or affects the lungs, will *not* alleviate any of those symptoms. In fact, it will almost certainly make it worse, and will kill many of them.

Intubation tubes are a source of potential a infection known as “ventilator-associated pneumonia”, which studies show affects **up to 28% of all people put on ventilators**, and kills **20-55% of those infected**.

Mechanical ventilation is also damaging to the physical structure of the lungs, resulting in “**ventilator-induced lung injury**”, which can dramatically impact quality of life, and even result in death.

Experts estimate **40-50% of ventilated patients die, regardless of their disease**. Around the world, **between 66 and 86% of all “Covid patients”** put on ventilators died.

According to the “undercover nurse”, ventilators were being used so improperly in New York, they were destroying patients’ lungs:

Perspectives on the Pandemic | The (Undercover) Epicenter Nurse | E...



This policy was negligence at best, and potentially deliberate murder at worst. This misuse of ventilators could account for any increase in mortality in 2020/21 [Facts **2** & **6**]

*

PART VI: MASKS

20. Masks don't work. At least a dozen scientific studies have shown that masks do nothing to stop the spread of respiratory viruses.

One meta-analysis **published by the CDC in May 2020** found “*no significant reduction in influenza transmission with the use of face masks*”.

Another **study** with over 8000 subjects found masks “*did not seem to be effective against laboratory-confirmed viral respiratory infections nor against clinical respiratory infection.*”

There are literally too many to quote them all, but you can read them: **[1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10]** Or read a summary by SPR **here**.

While some studies have been done claiming to show mask do work for Covid, they are all seriously flawed. One relied on **self-reported surveys as data**. Another was so badly designed **a panel of experts**


demand it be withdrawn. A third was withdrawn after its predictions **proved entirely incorrect.**

The WHO commissioned their own meta-analysis in the Lancet, but that study looked only at N95 masks and only in hospitals. [For full run down on the bad data in this study click **here.**]

Aside from scientific evidence, there's plenty of real-world evidence that masks do nothing to halt the spread of disease.

For example, North Dakota and South Dakota had **near-identical case figures**, despite one having a mask-mandate and the other not:

CASES PER 100K POPULATION IN NORTH DAKOTA AND SOUTH DAKOTA



In Kansas, counties without mask mandates actually **had fewer Covid “cases”** than counties *with* mask mandates. And despite masks being very common in Japan, they had their **worst flu outbreak in decades in 2019.**

*

21. Masks are bad for your health. Wearing a mask for long periods, wearing the same mask more than once, and other aspects of cloth masks can be bad for your health. A long study on the

detrimental effects of mask-wearing was recently published by the ***International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health***

Dr. James Meehan **reported in August 2020** he was seeing increases in bacterial pneumonia, fungal infections, facial rashes .

Masks are also known to contain **plastic microfibers**, which damage the lungs when inhaled and may be potentially carcinogenic.

Children wearing masks encourages mouth-breathing, which **results in facial deformities**.

People around the world have **passed out due to CO₂ poisoning** while wearing their masks, and some children in China even **suffered sudden cardiac arrest**.

*

22. Masks are bad for the planet. Millions upon millions of disposable masks have been used per month for over a year. A report from the UN found the Covid19 pandemic will likely result in plastic waste **more than doubling in the next few years.**, and the vast majority of that is face masks.

The report goes on to warn these masks (and other medical waste) will clog sewage and irrigation systems, which will have knock on effects on public health, irrigation and agriculture.

A study from the **University of Swansea** found “*heavy metals and plastic fibres were released when throw-away masks were submerged in water.*” These materials are toxic to both people and wildlife.

*

PART VII: VACCINES

23. Covid “vaccines” are totally unprecedented. Before 2020 no successful vaccine against a human coronavirus **had ever been developed**. Since then we have allegedly *made 20 of them in 18 months*.

Scientists have been trying to develop a SARS and MERS vaccine for years with little success. Some of the **failed SARS vaccines actually caused hypersensitivity** to the SARS virus. Meaning that vaccinated mice could potentially get the disease *more severely* than unvaccinated mice. Another attempt **caused liver damage in ferrets**.

While traditional vaccines work by exposing the body to a weakened strain of the microorganism responsible for causing the disease, these new Covid vaccines are **mRNA vaccines**.

mRNA (messenger ribonucleic acid) vaccines theoretically work by injecting viral mRNA into the body, where it replicates inside your cells and encourages your body to recognise, and make antigens for, the “spike proteins” of the virus. They have been the **subject of research** since the 1990s, but before 2020 no mRNA vaccine was ever approved for use.

*

24. Vaccines do not confer immunity or prevent transmission. It is readily admitted that Covid “vaccines” do **not** confer immunity from infection and do **not** prevent you from passing the disease onto others. Indeed, an **article in the British Medical Journal** highlighted that the vaccine studies were not designed to even try and assess if the “vaccines” limited transmission.

The vaccine manufacturers themselves, upon releasing the untested mRNA gene therapies, were quite clear their product's "efficacy" was based on **"reducing the severity of symptoms"**.

*

25. The vaccines were rushed and have unknown longterm effects. Vaccine development is a slow, laborious process. Usually, from development through testing and finally being approved for public use **takes many years**. The various vaccines for Covid were all developed and approved in less than a year. Obviously there can be no long-term safety data on chemicals which are less than a year old.

Pfizer even admit this is true in the **leaked supply contract** between the pharmaceutical giant, and the government of Albania:

“ *the long-term effects and efficacy of the Vaccine are not currently known and that there may be adverse effects of the Vaccine that are not currently known* ”

Further, none of the vaccines have been **subject to proper trials**. Many of them skipped early-stage trials entirely, and the late-stage human trials have either not been peer-reviewed, have not released their data, **will not finish until 2023** or were **abandoned after "severe adverse effects"**.

*

26. Vaccine manufacturers have been granted legal indemnity should they cause harm. The USA's Public Readiness

and Emergency Preparedness Act (PREP) **grants immunity until at least 2024**.

The EU's product licensing law **does the same**, and there are reports of **confidential liability clauses** in the contracts the EU signed with vaccine manufacturers.

The UK went even further, granting **permanent legal indemnity** to the government, and any employees thereof, for any harm done when a patient is being treated for Covid19 or "suspected Covid19".

Again, the leaked Albanian contract suggests that Pfizer, at least, made this indemnity a standard demand of supplying Covid vaccines:

“ Purchaser hereby agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Pfizer [...] from and against any and all suits, claims, actions, demands, losses, damages, liabilities, settlements, penalties, fines, costs and expenses

*


PART VIII: DECEPTION & FOREKNOWLEDGE

27. The EU was preparing “vaccine passports” at least a YEAR before the pandemic began. Proposed COVID countermeasures, presented to the public as improvised emergency measures, have **existed since before the emergence of the disease**.

Two EU documents published in 2018, the **“2018 State of Vaccine Confidence”** and a technical report titled **“Designing and implementing an immunisation information system”** discussed the plausibility of an EU-wide vaccination monitoring system.

These documents were combined into the 2019 “Vaccination Roadmap”, which (among other things) established a “feasibility study” on vaccine passports to begin in 2019 and finish in 2021:

ROADMAP FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION BASED ON THE COMMUNICATION AND THE COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION ON STRENGTHENING COOPERATION AGAINST PREVENTABLE DISEASES



This report’s **final conclusions** were released to the public in September 2019, just a month before Event 201 (below).

*


28. A “training exercise” predicted the pandemic just weeks before it started. In October 2019 the **World Economic Forum and Johns Hopkins University held Event 201**. This was a training exercise based on a zoonotic coronavirus starting a worldwide pandemic. The exercise was sponsored by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and GAVI the vaccine alliance.

The exercise published its findings and recommendations in November 2019 as a **“call to action”**. One month later, China recorded their first case of “Covid”.

*

29. Since the beginning of 2020, the Flu has “disappeared”.

In the United States, since February 2020, influenza cases have allegedly **dropped by over 98%**.



It's not just the US either, globally flu has apparently almost **completely disappeared**.

Meanwhile, a new disease called “Covid”, which has identical symptoms and a similar mortality rate to influenza, is apparently affecting all the people normally affected by the flu.

*

30. The elite have made fortunes during the pandemic. Since the beginning of lockdown the wealthiest people have become significantly wealthier. Forbes reported that 40 new billionaires have

been created **“fighting the coronavirus”**, with **9 of them being vaccine manufacturers**.

Business Insider reported that **“billionaires saw their net worth increase by half a trillion dollars”** by October 2020.

Clearly that number will be even bigger by now.

*

These are the vital facts of the pandemic, presented here as a resource to help formulate and support your arguments with friends or strangers. Thanks to all the researchers who have collated and collected this information over the last twenty months, especially **Swiss Policy Research**.

If you have anything you would like to see included, let us know in the comments



FILED UNDER: CORONAVIRUS, FEATURED, LATEST, OFFG FOCUS

TAGGED WITH: ANTHONY FAUCI, CORONAVIRUS, COVID19, KARY MULLIS, MASKS, NHS

CAN YOU SPARE \$1.00 A MONTH TO SUPPORT INDEPENDENT MEDIA

Unlike the Guardian we are NOT funded by Bill & Melinda Gates, or any other NGO or government. So a few coins in our jar to help us keep going are always appreciated.

Our Bitcoin JTR code is: **1JR1whUa3G24wXpDyqMKpieckMGGW2u2VX**



4.9

Article Rating



Subscribe ▼

Connect with


[B](#) [I](#) [LINK](#) [B-QUOTE](#) [U](#) [UL](#) [OL](#) [LI](#) [CODE](#) [SPOILER](#)

8000

Join the discussion

756 COMMENTS



newest ▼

**Annah Foden**

Sep 29, 2021 6:23 PM

Thank you very much for this report. A part on the effective and efficacious treatments which have been prohibited such as HCQ and Ivermectin protocols has its place in this report.

▲ 3 | 0 ▼ ➔ Reply

**Stephen Rude**

Sep 29, 2021 5:46 PM

Finally an article that puts all the things I've read about concerning Covid 19 into one article complete with references. I personally find that the most disturbing thing of all is the how readily the mass population dawn their mask and roll up their sleeve.

▲ 3 | 0 ▼ ➔ Reply

**GaryCM**

Sep 29, 2021 4:58 PM

The one thing all can agree on is that we have all been lied to from the beginning. Now on to the next crucial topic: WHY?

▲ 2 | 0 ▼ ➔ Reply

Stephen Rude

Sep 29, 2021 5:43 PM



Reply to GaryCM

Really? Power and Money.

3 | 0 Reply



Darius J. Sep 29, 2021 8:08 PM

Reply to GaryCM

Gary,

20 months into the scamdemic, and if you are still asking yourself “why”, clearly you haven’t done sufficient research on WEF, Great Reset, Technocracy and Eugenetics.

Keep studying.

1 | 0 Reply



Joel S Hirschhorn Sep 29, 2021 3:47 PM

<https://www.wnd.com/2021/09/army-doctors-brave-indictment-forcing-vaccines-healthy-soldiers/>

Brave Colonel trying to stop mandate for military

1 | 0 Reply



Bud Morten Sep 29, 2021 2:31 PM

<https://ctmirror.org/category/ct-viewpoints/c-19-and-the-underbrush-theory-why-smokey-the-bear-is-the-problem-bud-morten/>

0 | 0 Reply



Rodchenko Sep 29, 2021 8:39 AM

If you are worried about where all the flu deaths went to don’t worry they will be back this winter so it will get the blame rather than those that have been vaccinated against covid.

1 | 0 Reply



Ben Sep 29, 2021 1:21 PM

Reply to Rodchenko

Yup, they’ve already named it “Twindemic”.

0 | 0 Reply



Ian Sep 29, 2021 5:34 AM

Wow. This is gold. My web.. <http://www.covid19.net.nz> will post this information shortly. I believe this has all evolved because Dr Fauci has been interrogated so much that he no longer has a balanced point of view. That the WHO is following his recommendations,

and society is the victim of Ego and 'pass the buck' tactics. A sensible solution is needed. I use the 'What If you are wrong? What is the worst possible outcome?' philosophy when it comes to vaxers without a thought. 'I will get the jab as long as you guarantee you will pay my family if anything happens to me with the mRNA jab.'

Technically the jab is not a vaccine at all. It is an experiment that still requires time to prove its effectiveness and outcome. Natural immunity is required or if in doubt, get the jab but don't tell healthy people what they must do when there is no proof that masks and mRNA injections will work. I have lots of proof that my immune system works long term as I am a survivor of Covid-19 through natural immunity (1 week in rest) . What proof have you got?

^ 7 | -1 v Reply



Dina Kim Sep 29, 2021 1:54 AM

I'd like to add that there is a push to unnecessarily vaccinate Covid survivors who have developed natural immunity. HERD immunity is a combination of survivors plus the vaccinated. The powers that be pretend that the only people with protection are the vaccinated. The focus shouldn't be on the vaccinated versus the unvaccinated; rather, the focus should be on the immune (T cell ready) versus the non-immune.

^ 8 | 0 v Reply



SAM Sep 29, 2021 1:33 AM

this article badly confuses science with superstition.....science does not require belief or faith....it just is, proven, repeatable and undeniable...you can deny it if you want, but you're just killing more people.....faith is what you have in things that don't exist....if they did, you wouldn't need to have any faith.....you dumbasses can believe whatever you like, but science doesn't give a shit what you believe

claim:**The survival rate of "Covid" is over 99%.**

TRUTH:One in 500 people in the U.S. has died from COVID. To try to trivialize it and say it's nothing, it doesn't matter, I think it's just a gross mischaracterization of what we are all living through,

Furthermore, vaccinated people are far less likely to become severely ill or die from COVID-19 compared to unvaccinated people. This is an undeniable FACT

Most vaccinated people with breakthrough infections experience mild illness, Dr. William Moss, a vaccine expert at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, **told the AP.**

Compared to the average COVID-19 death rate in the U.S., "you look at what the vaccines can do, they decrease the risk of death and severe illness by more than 90 percent, 95

percent in the randomized studies.”

Data showed nearly all U.S. deaths from the virus were among people who haven't received the vaccine. About 99.5% of all deaths from COVID-19 are in the unvaccinated, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky **has said**.

CLAIM: There has been NO unusual excess mortality.

TRUTH:In an ecological, cross-sectional study, making use of provisional National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) data on direct COVID-19 and all-cause mortality occurring in US counties from January 1 to December 31, 2020 and reported before March 12, 2021. We used data with a 10-week time lag between the final day that deaths occurred and the last day that deaths could be reported to improve the completeness of data. Our sample included 2,096 counties with 20 or more COVID-19 deaths. The total number of residents living in these counties was 319.1 million. On average, the counties were 18.7% Hispanic, 12.7% non-Hispanic Black, and 59.6% non-Hispanic White. A total of 15.9% of the population was older than 65 years. We first modeled the relationship between 2020 all-cause mortality and COVID-19 mortality across all counties and then produced fully stratified models to explore differences in this relationship among strata of sociodemographic and health factors. Overall, we found that for every 100 deaths assigned to COVID-19, 120 all-cause deaths occurred (95% CI, 116 to 124), implying that 17% (95% CI, 14% to 19%) of excess deaths were ascribed to causes of death other than COVID-19 itself. Our stratified models revealed that the percentage of excess deaths not assigned to COVID-19 was substantially higher among counties with lower median household incomes and less formal education, counties with poorer health and more diabetes, and counties in the South and West. Counties with more non-Hispanic Black residents, who were already at high risk of COVID-19 death based on direct counts, also reported higher percentages of excess deaths not assigned to COVID-19. In this study, we found that direct COVID-19 death counts in the US in 2020 substantially underestimated total excess mortality attributable to COVID-19. Racial and socioeconomic inequities in COVID-19 mortality also increased when excess deaths not assigned to COVID-19 were considered.

CLAIM: “Covid death” counts are artificially inflated.

TRUTH:<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/debunking-the-false-claim-that-covid-death-counts-are-inflated1/>

CLAIM8: Lockdowns do not prevent the spread of disease.

TRUTH:<https://www.healthline.com/health-news/yes-lockdowns-do-help-slow-the-spread-of-covid-19>

CLAIM: Hospitals were never unusually over-burdened.

TRUTH: <https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2021/aug/11/erin-marie-olszewski/its-common-hospitals-be-full-covid-19-adds-unusual/>

CLAIM: The World Health Organization (Twice) Admitted PCR tests produced false positives.

TRUTH: <https://www.reuters.com/article/factcheck-who-pcr/fact-check-the-who-did-not-say-pcr-tests-are-invalid-a-canadian-article-has-misrepresented-quotes-in-a-who-notice-written-for-professional-scientists-idUSL1N2M101D>

CLAIM: The majority of Covid infections are “asymptomatic”.

TRUTH: <https://www.cnn.com/2020/11/20/health/cdc-coronavirus-spread-asymptomatic-website-wellness/index.html>

CLAIM: There is very little evidence supporting the alleged danger of “asymptomatic transmission”.

TRUTH: <https://www.cnn.com/2020/11/20/health/cdc-coronavirus-spread-asymptomatic-website-wellness/index.html>

CLAIM: Ventilators killed people.

TRUTH: ALL Doctors say that when they recommend invasive ventilation to covid patients now, they don't do so lightly. If a patient is sick enough to need a mechanical ventilator, they should go on it, “**Because without it, you have no chance of surviving — you're going to die.**”

CLAIM: Masks don't work.

TRUTH:

Why Masks Work BETTER Than You'd Think



CLAIM: Masks are bad for your health.

TRUTH: <https://www.mayoclinichealthsystem.org/hometown-health/speaking-of-health/debunked-myths-about-face-masks>

CLAIM: Covid “vaccines” are totally unprecedented.

TRUTH: Myth: **The COVID-19 vaccine was rushed and is too new to know for sure if it’s safe** “You’re letting yourself be used as a science experiment” is a common retort seen on parenting social media groups when a person shares that they’ve been vaccinated.

But according to epidemiologist and Walden University Core Faculty member **Vasileios Margaritis**, PhD, MS, nothing could be further from the truth.

“Although it seems that COVID-19 vaccines were developed in a record time, this is one of medical research’s greatest achievements,” Margaritis said. “They are the result of an unprecedented international scientific collaboration as well as of an enormous financial and human resources allocation.”

He explained that the technology for these vaccines has actually existed for many years. The vaccine manufacturers were only able to develop these vaccines now because of the hard work that had previously been done.

That, combined with the large amount of funding and effort that went into moving that work forward once a need arose, allowed for these lifesaving vaccines to be developed now.

“All laboratory and clinical trials were conducted in compliance with the most rigorous rules, standards and ethical criteria, without compromising the safety of the participants,” Margaritis said. “The vaccines were approved quickly for emergency use because red tape was cut, not corners.”

CLAIM: Since the beginning of 2020, the Flu has “disappeared”.

TRUTH: <https://fullfact.org/online/flu-pneumonia-deaths-wrong/>

CLAIM: The elite have made fortunes during the pandemic.

TRUTH: The ‘elite’ make fortunes on anything that is happening, that;s how they became ‘elite’....dumbasses

^ 4 | -35 v ↪ Reply



Admin

Sam - Admin2 Sep 29, 2021 2:17 AM

Reply to SAM

Ok, so fullfact and politifact and Reuters are not many people’s idea of scientific rebuttal, but thank you for assembling these links. The thing is, this piece is largely citing established ‘mainstream’ sources, so at best you’re demonstrating there is no coherent consensus here, and that there seems to be a gulf between the factual data and what the mainstream news/fact checkers are saying (most of whom you’ve linked to above).

If commenters were happy to pitch in and address each link in SAM’s post above, I think this might become an engaging thread.

Thanks for your comment, SAM. A2

^ 16 | -3 v ↪ Reply



Mark Sep 29, 2021 5:28 AM

Reply to Sam - Admin2

SAM’s contribution is by the numbers internet disinfo: Make a declaration, then prove the truth of your claim with an article that consists of more declarations, alongside anecdotes and quotes from experts, and hope that no one notices that there isn’t anything like research or hard data to be found.

Check out the healthline, mayo clinic, and scientific american links to see how this works. Of these, the Scientific American article is the only one that remotely approximates a cogent, evidence-based argument, but it builds most of its case on death certificates. Given the claim about over-classification, who the fuck is going to be persuaded by this garbage.

This shit exists to give the appearance of debunking, without debunking anything, and gives shitheads like SAM here some links to pass along that he surely knows most people won’t even follow, let alone read carefully.

That Sam the Admin thinks this offal proves there is 'no coherent consensus' and merits others pitching in and addressing each link, shows how potent this technique is. There is nothing in any of the links I reviewed weighty enough to be debunked. I looked at three of them and that was enough wasted time.

There's never a coherent consensus when there is an army of liars pumping out propaganda 24/7.

Disinform harder, you lazy cunt.

^ 21 | -3 v ➡ Reply



Admin

Sam - Admin2

Sep 29, 2021 5:03 PM

| Reply to Mark

Why include a jibe at me and undermine yourself in order to do so by misquoting me? XD I never said those links 'proved' any such thing, please reread. I think we need to be smarter than this. You were kind enough to help achieve exactly what I'd hoped by providing some good quality incite into the links above. Cool. A2

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



William

Sep 29, 2021 5:13 PM

| Reply to Mark

I don't think you get it. The word on the street in Minnesota, is that many doctors at Mayo Clinic objected strongly to the treatment protocols they were provided, which largely omitted life-saving anti-oxidants and medicines. The doctors were told to shut up or lose their jobs. So there you go. You tell me. Either this is vast criminal conspiracy, or it is sound medicine. The former appears more in line with all the emerging knowledge.

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Barney Rubble

Sep 29, 2021 3:54 AM

| Reply to SAM

So Sam here, Lets call him Yosemite, attempts to debunk real actual factual science with a collection of Snopes-equivalent propaganda stooge stories. Pow Pow Pow. 'Sam rises in the air at the power of the recoil of his cartoon six shooters'. Well played Sam. Keep your mask clean, or buy a box of 50. Stay the fuck away from me and enjoy your poison boosters. I hope your kids are already on their own.

What a maroon. (if ya dont get it ya dont).

^ 16 | -1 v ➡ Reply

**Johnny**

Sep 29, 2021 4:58 AM

| Reply to SAM

So, when Hitler, Mao Zedong and the psychopaths who instigated the Inquisition were quoting from their own sources they were right?

^ 7 | -1 v Reply

**NoThanks**

Sep 29, 2021 5:05 AM

| Reply to SAM

I'll take on the "Masks are bad for your health" debunking. It's the low-hanging fruit.

Mayo Clinic Claim #1:

Simply put, wearing a cloth mask helps decrease the spread of the COVID-19 virus. Research now has shown that a significant number of people with COVID-19 lack symptoms. These people do not know they are transmitting the virus to others when they talk, sneeze, cough or raise their voice (e.g., singing or shouting). Wearing a mask helps to lower the transmission of respiratory droplets to other people around you. You should wear a mask to protect others, and they should wear masks to protect you.

First of all, "COVID-19" is the name of supposedly infectious disease, not the name of the virus, which is SARS-CoV-2. It's ridiculous that the Mayo Clinic (and the media, and politicians) gets this wrong.

Their first sentence claims that wearing a cloth mask helps decrease the spread, but cites no data.

Their second sentence has a link to the "research," but it goes to a CDC page that presents no research. The closest the CDC comes to addressing the topic on this page is saying "several studies have found that people with COVID-19 who never develop symptoms (asymptomatic) and those who are not yet showing symptoms (pre-symptomatic) can still spread the virus to other people." But they don't link to studies, so I'll have to do that for them. In December 2020, the Journal of the American Medical Association presented a meta analysis that showed exactly the opposite: asymptomatic transition is extremely rare. An article explaining this in layman's terms is [here](#), and unlike the CDC, it actually *does* link to its sources, the JAMA study as well as a WHO study also showing that asymptomatic transmission is almost non-existent.

Their third sentence presents no data to back up their claim.

Their fourth sentence presents no data to back up their claim; although one could assume that that transmission of *large* size droplets are reduced by wearing a mask, there is no data to show that means anything in terms of transmission of a *disease* itself.

Their last sentence is just a guilt trip without data to back up their claim.

Mayo Clinic Claim #2

A cloth mask is worn to help protect others in case you have the virus. Countries that required face masks, testing, isolation and social distancing early in the pandemic seem to have had some success slowing the disease's spread. Common sense also suggests that some protection is better than none.

Cloth masks reduce the number of respiratory droplets a person releases into the air when talking, sneezing or coughing. The overall number of droplets in the air is reduced when more people wear masks, and this reduces the risk of being exposed to COVID-19.

First sentence, no data.

Second sentence, they specifically chose the words “*seem to have had some success*” because they have no actual data to back up this claim. Meanwhile, the many studies Kit linked to in this very article show the opposite of Mayo’s claim.

Third sentence cites “common sense” in lieu of data. I would say it’s common sense that air travels through the path of least resistance, which is around the edges of the mask rather than through it, and individual virus particles are far smaller than the weave of a mask anyway. But that’s just proves why you shouldn’t use “common sense” as an argument for busting myths.

Fourth sentence, again no data. If a mask reduces the number of droplets, it’s only the largest sized droplets, which are the ones that would fall immediately out of the air.

Fifth sentence, again no data to support the claim that the overall number of droplets “in the air” (regardless of size) is A) reduced when people wear masks, and B) that this somehow factors into the risk of being exposed to a virus which they again incorrectly call COVID-19 instead of SARS-CoV-2.

Mayo Clinic Claim #3

For many years, health care providers have worn masks for extended periods of time with no adverse health reactions. The CDC recommends wearing cloth masks while in public, and this option is very breathable. There is no risk of hypoxia, which is lower oxygen levels, in healthy adults. Carbon dioxide will freely diffuse through your mask as you breathe.

If you feel uncomfortable in your mask, try to limit your talking and breathe through your nose. That will reduce the humidity level in your mask.

Goodness.

First sentence is stating that health care providers have worn masks for undisclosed intervals in their careers, and somehow that is supposed to assure that wearing them nonstop all day everyday (for all people, of all ages of development) is perfectly healthy? It also makes a bold claim that there have been “no adverse health reactions,” as if we have any documentation of that.

The second sentence is an appeal to the authority of the CDC without any data, and a subjective opinion that a cloth mask is “very breathable.” I disagree entirely.

The third and fourth sentence state “no” risk, as in zero risk of hypoxia, another bold claim without data. I would cite not only common sense, but a number of tests with oxygen and CO₂ sensors revealing contradictions to this data-less claim.

The fifth and sixth sentences are simply condescending drivel.

Mayo Clinic Claim #4

Wearing a mask is one step in slowing the spread of COVID-19. In addition to wearing a mask, everyone should continue to practice recommended behaviors to such as:

- Keep your physical distance: 6 feet or about two arms’ lengths apart from other people.
- Limit in-person meetings.
- Wash your hands often with soap.
- Stay home if you do not feel well.
- Get a test if you have **COVID-19 symptoms**. Call your local health care provider to schedule a test.
- Self-isolate if you have been around someone who is sick or tested positive.

First sentence has no data to back up the claim. Second sentence leads to more unscientific claims.

First bullet: Keep your 6 feet of distance, which was a completely arbitrary number until later someone said it was only 3 feet needed. None of it is backed by science.

Second bullet: Unless we go down the germ theory/terrain theory path here, we can let this one pass. Toxins are a thing too, so washing hands is probably good in one way or another.

Third bullet: The least controversial claim possible. Rest if you're sick.

Fourth bullet: They're telling you to get a test which tries to detect non-specific sequences of genetic material that are found in many things, originally based on the Sars-CoV-1 genome because a Sars-Cov-2 was not available (Corman-Drosten paper), which is the basis for ongoing lawsuits—a fact that would be known by everyone if we had mainstream news organizations that *actually reported the news*. This PCR test is run at arbitrary cycle thresholds in various locations; it can't exceed 28 if you've been vaccinated but can be as high as 45 if you're unvaccinated because data consistency doesn't matter to the keepers of "the science" at the CDC. The illegitimacy of PCR data renders **ALL COVID-19 DATA USELESS**. We do not know if someone died of COVID-19, with COVID-19, if they had COVID-19 at all, and ultimately if a unique new disease called COVID-19 actually exists if the testing for the SARS-CoV-2 virus that purportedly *causes* COVID-19 is fraudulent. Must we re-read **this article** daily to remind ourselves? We keep falling into the same trap of debating data that we know can't possibly exist.

Fifth bullet: If someone you know tested positive and had no symptoms, and you also don't have symptoms, live your damn life. Asymptomatic spreading is either barely a thing or not a thing at all, according to *actual* data.

SAM, you chose to ignore all of Kit's referenced data on masks, and instead "debunked" the claim that "masks are bad for your health" with a myth-busting article from the Mayo Clinic, which somehow managed to present NO actual data whatsoever.

^ 24 | -1 v Reply



Admin

Sam - Admin2

Sep 29, 2021 4:43 PM

Reply to NoThanks

Excellent, thanks! A2

^ 1 | 0 v Reply

**No NAME**

Sep 29, 2021 5:35 AM

Reply to SAM

The New Nuremberg Trials -<https://banned.video/watch?id=60bfa39e05e4f2716bb9984b>

A team of over 1,000 lawyers and over 10,000 medical experts led by Dr. Reiner Fuellmich have begun legal proceedings against the CDC, WHO & the Davos Group for crimes against humanity. Fuellmich and his team present the faulty PCR test and the order for doctors to label any comorbidity death as a Covid death as fraud. The PCR test was never designed to detect pathogens and is 100% faulty at 35 cycles. All the PCR tests overseen by the CDC are set at 37 to 45 cycles. The CDC admits that any tests over 28 cycles are not admissible for a positive reliable result. This alone invalidates over 90% of the alleged covid cases / "infections" tracked by the use of this faulty test.

In addition to the flawed tests and fraudulent death certificates, the "experimental" vaccine itself is in violation of Article 32 of the Geneva Convention. Under Article 32 of the 1949 Geneva Convention IV, "mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person" are prohibited. According to Article 147, conducting biological experiments on protected persons is a grave breach of the Convention.

0 | 0 *Reply*

**DAVE**

Sep 29, 2021 5:37 AM

Reply to SAM

HOLY FUCK! READ MUCH PROPAGANDA THERE BUDZ???? POLITIFACT-LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL

1 | 0 *Reply*

**Mark**

Sep 29, 2021 6:09 AM

Reply to SAM

I will take up the excess mortality proof SAM offers, simply because it's an actual study and because I'm very curious how the demographic disparities the researches found in how often deaths are attributed to Covid, demonstrates that "direct COVID-19 death counts in the US in 2020 substantially underestimated total excess mortality attributable to COVID-19."

This just looks like more baffling with bullshit, this time a wordy summary of research that in no obvious way proves the conclusion it draws.

I'll also point out that not even the researchers are applying themselves to the matter of excess deaths generally, the way SAM's preceding declaration would

suggest, more evidence for what a lazy fucking bum he is as disinformant twats go. The burden here couldn't be more clear: show that 2020 was exceptionally deadly compared to other years. SAM has, revealingly, not even attempted that.

SAM you're either a liar, or you're very dumb and emotional, and therefore a perfect mark for, and promoter of, propaganda. In either case, you're a toxic piece of shit. Go offline and take a pill, you shrieking nitwit.

^ 7 | -2 v ➡ Reply



Victor G. Sep 29, 2021 1:18 PM

| Reply to *Mark*

Isn't anyone curious how much one gets paid for such a "fat" post?

^ 2 | 0 v ➡ Reply



juno Sep 29, 2021 8:04 PM

| Reply to *Victor G.*

He could be arguing in his spare time.

^ 0 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Thomas Frey Sep 29, 2021 12:00 PM

| Reply to *SAM*

Folks like you are exactly why we are where we are with Tyranny pushing their technocratic dystopian dictatorship.

The time of comeuppance is neigh.

^ 5 | -1 v ➡ Reply



Michael Sep 29, 2021 4:29 PM

| Reply to *SAM*

We are all born ignorant, but you must work incredibly hard every day at staying totally stupid. We love watching angry little shrills like you, flail your arms and scream at a high pitch at those who know you are full of BS. Go sell your Branch Covidian religion to the ignorant masses. I had Covid, and now have natural immunity for 19 months now, as I watch frightened sheep like friends who are vaccinated come down with Covid. So you are pretty much a fake news liar. Get outta here, Fauci's street stooge. This isn't the 1930s, too bad you missed it, you would have made a perfect goosestepping German. Now, go line up for your 9th booster shot, they work so well.

^ 2 | 0 v ➡ Reply

**Karen Goodridge**

Sep 29, 2021 7:45 PM

| *Reply to SAM*

Your “facts” come from pro-vax groups. There are a significant number of well-established and brilliant scientists, researchers and practitioners who don’t agree. FACT.

0 | 0

Reply

**Wendy**

Sep 28, 2021 11:53 PM

Many thanks for a heroic effort at parsing important facts and figures on the agenda, Kit. I hope this crib sheet keeps getting better:) Two improvements to recommend, if I may:

1) I wish the first video could have been one of Dr. Michael Yeadon (or somebody else of a similar moral and intellectual stature) instead of the murderous, Gates-funded Chris Whitty. Whitty has done a complete U-turn since this video was put out; he is now pushing for the UK to start injecting the deadly gene therapies into 12-15 year olds.

2) We need to tackle the point many of the brainwashed raise: millions are dying of covid! It’s killing people!! How can you say covid isn’t real!? Etc. (Sam, below, is typical of such people).

The answer is nuanced: what the WHO, the media and government health officials have been calling the ‘Sars Cov2 virus’ simply does not exist, medically or empirically. The WHO and CDC have admitted as much when scientists demanded to see a sample of it. There IS NO proof that it exists because it has never been isolated or purified in a lab. The whole Wuhan lab leak story is a distraction.

What is this ‘virus’, then? It is a chimeric, engineered code made up of Sars Cov 1, animal viruses and HIV. It appears as a computer code on a database. That’s it. All the ‘variants’ we are constantly bombarded with are pure lies, made up to...you guessed it...make us take the ‘vaccines’.

So, what did people die of in 2020? The seasonal flu A+B, aggravated by EMF caused by 5G frequencies. As 5G towers were rapidly ‘activated’ during the global lockdowns, some people experienced vascular and pulmonary effects. Others simply died of the usual comorbidities associated with age, obesity and malnutrition. Still others died from accidental causes.

We now know that nearly all of these deaths were re-designated as ‘covid deaths’. Case numbers were pumped up relentlessly using a fake test. Stupid, stupid rules and ‘mandates’ which millions of brain-dead people obeyed without question. And now, nearly 2 billion human beings have been jabbed with a bioweapon which is already the most deadly in history. And these same people are still wearing masks indoors AND outdoors!

How has all this happened? Because people have been hypnotized by fear, blindly trusted

authority and been unwilling to do their own research. The 'covid era' is a global tragedy of unspeakable proportions. And a spiritual war we humans must – and will – win.

^ 14 | -1 v Reply



Reel Live Sep 28, 2021 10:40 PM

It's a good collection of information. What's missing is how we got into this in the first place. Because it did not happen overnight. And it's not simply because a bunch of wealthy psychopaths are in control. It goes much further — much deeper. It's much more tangible for nearly everyone — study "The 2 Married Pink Elephants In The Historical Room" at <https://www.rolf-hefti.com/covid-19-coronavirus.html>

Without the proper understanding, and whole acknowledgment, of the true WHOLE problem and reality, no real constructive LASTING change is possible.

^ 1 | 0 v Reply



Bob Delbridge Sep 28, 2021 7:12 PM

Please comment on the recent Israeli study finding fully vaxxed people 27x more likely to get the virus than the unvaxxed: <https://nationalfile.com/israeli-study-fully-vaxxed-are-27-times-more-likely-to-get-covid-compared-to-people-with-natural-immunity/>

^ 3 | 0 v Reply



SAM Sep 28, 2021 5:54 PM

JUST KEEP TRYING TO CONVINCE YOURSELVES THAT EVERYTHING IS FINE....THEN WE CAN HEAR YOU SAYING HOW WRONG YOU WERE WHEN YOU END UP IN THE HOSPITAL ABOUT TO DIE.....IDIOTS DENYING SCIENCE ARE JUST DUMBASSES

^ 5 | -51 v Reply



Admin

Sam - Admin2 Sep 28, 2021 6:33 PM

Reply to SAM

Thanks for your perspective. I notice you don't fault any of the points this piece raises. Do you have anything science-based with which to refute, or just this emotional outburst? A2

^ 33 | -1 v Reply



SAM Sep 28, 2021 7:30 PM

Reply to Sam - Admin2

Talk to the people who have to deal with the idiots who show up to the overcrowded ICU'S after denying the virus was/is real.....talk to people who have

lost loved ones to this horrible disease after denying it was real.....emotional, yes, how can you not be when dealing with ignorant fools who deny reality..... those who deny science are leading us back to the dark ages, and that's what this article is doing, preying on dumbasses who don't understand what a controlled experiment is or involves.....all is fine with the ignorant masses until someone you know and love gets sick and dies.....science deniers are sadly mistaken and it leads to more suffering and death....and that sucks....it sucks having to deal with you and others like you.....people stupidly believed that every past vaccine was a gov't plot....how did that work out?.....dumbasses

^ 1 | -30 v ➔ Reply



Sophie - Admin1

Sep 28, 2021 7:37 PM

| Reply to SAM

Admin

So, that's a big no to Sam's question.

This article is nothing but solid, *mainstream* facts, many of them based on science. You respond with a fact-free ad home rant and then accuse *us* of being anti-science.

The word science has lost its meaning to you. It has nothing to do with data and everything to do with faith.

You might just as well be screaming 'witch!' or 'heretic!'

^ 28 | -1 v ➔ Reply



SAM

Sep 28, 2021 9:24 PM

| Reply to Sophie - Admin1

OPPOSITE

^ 0 | -19 v ➔ Reply



Dreambuilder

Sep 29, 2021 1:23 AM

| Reply to SAM

Don't live in fear Sam! People die from the flu every year more than Covid... and we don't close things down or wear masks over that? Wake up and do your research. It is not hard to find the facts. Just look outside the mainstream media.

^ 13 | -2 v ➔ Reply



Mark

Sep 29, 2021 6:33 AM

| Reply to SAM

Prove it's the opposite, by making one cogent argument. When you're not linking to, or quoting articles that don't at all prove your claims, you're emoting like someone who has been glued to his television set since March of last year.

You're typical of the Covid cult. You extol yourself as a proponent of science, but never offer anything empirical. Your idea of following the science is uncritically deferring to ruling class-anointed scientific authority, which is the very opposite of science.

^ 1 | 0 v Reply



Thomas Frey Sep 29, 2021 11:56 AM

Reply to SAM

All these evil scientism morons have done is take Flu data from column F and move it to Column C and call it Convid-1984.

Every flu season the USA alone had ~65 million "cases", ~25 million hospital visits, and ~500k deaths, ANNUALLY.

Not once did we stop the world and lock down over the flu for over 100 years.

Now we are supposed to believe that a "virus" with a mortality rate less than half a percent for anyone aged 70 or less, with one comorbidity or less, is the most deadly ever.

That anyone is so ignorant that they cannot see that this entire PLANNEDemic is a political effort to destroy our cultures and economic opportunity is sad as F.

WAKE THE F UP.

^ 4 | 0 v Reply



Barney Rubble Sep 28, 2021 7:56 PM

Reply to SAM

Believing 2 chain link fences can stop a mosquito or believing in never tried before experimental gene therapy masquerading as vaccines which we know categorically DO NOT STOP INFECTION OR TRANSMISSION is analogous to building your own rocket in your garage to attempt to fly high enough to prove the earth is in fact flat.

^ 16 | -1 v Reply

**James**

Sep 28, 2021 7:59 PM

Reply to SAM

I think you will see, if you read the article objectively, this article is not refuting the science. Instead, it is using documented science to show the faults of how the situation is being handled. Instead of screaming and yelling your opinion perhaps you can, as Sam already requested, refute some of the article's points with some science?

I honestly am interested in seeing scientific papers that present your viewpoint. I have requested the same before from others that scream your perspective but each and every time I only receive abuse and never once science.

Thank you.

^ 20 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Pro-science, anti-vaccine**

Sep 29, 2021 5:16 AM

Reply to SAM

When most loved ones die, the institutional hospital and institutional doctors deny science and tell the family that COVID killed the loved one. That how you build fear and sell vaccines. Science tells us that most people don't die OF COVID, they die WITH COVID. Even the CDC backs this up when their website says 94% of deaths listed as COVID are people who died of other causes but hospitals were told to list the death as COVID. That's not science, that's politics.

^ 9 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Jack's pulverized patriot**

Sep 29, 2021 7:44 PM

Reply to SAM

My wife works intake at our small town hospital. We have no data to back our claim, and can only hope it's mention will invite further research. The absolute vast majority of patients admitted to our emergency room have recieved at least one dose of Pfizer or moderna. The vast majority of cases are not logged as covid19 at our hospital, these patients come in presenting issues with the heart, clotting, stroke, blood pressure, neurological problems, numbness, and shortness of breath. Emergency staff treats these issues as such and reports them as such. It occurs some time after initial diagnosis that these people have covid 19 not based on PCR testing but based on a checklist of symptoms, all of which we believe to be adverse reaction. The regional facility doesn't care about positive tests, only that the symptoms align. Add this to the CDC stating publicly that you are

considered unvaxxed until 2 weeks after your second jab and the big picture becomes clear. These shots are decimating our elderly population and creating abnormal spikes in younger populations, then being blamed on the unvaxxed. Our children are now reported as having covid (as a precaution) for any truancy from school, and the students are now pool tested (what a joke) to falsely pump numbers in children. This whole thing is a murderous conspiracy in the most obvious fashion and I wish people would listen long enough to realise it. My wife is days away from being replaced by a national guard member, when she was Frontline this entire time. You do not fire health workers amidst a real pandemic, you do this to intimidate, crush dissent, and normalize military presence among the community. We are amidst a communist takeover of this country, I fear we have already lost and like a supernova we are just now seeing the explosions.

0 | 0  Reply



Tracy Sep 29, 2021 12:46 AM

Reply to SAM

My question would be, can you provide data to back up the claim that ICUs are overcrowded? It seems I hear that a lot, but upon inspection, they are operating at normal capacity, although under-staffing is becoming a problem as trained professionals do not want to take the vaccine.

7 | 0  Reply



Johnny Sep 29, 2021 5:07 AM

Reply to SAM

Science?

Let's see:

Nuclear weapons, depleted uranium weapons, land mines, cluster bombs, napalm, agent orange, DDT, glyphosate, OxyContin, Benzedrine, RNA vaccines, etc, etc, etc
Reads like MASS MURDER doesn't it?

Wake up SHEEPY HEAD.

3 | 0  Reply



Mark Sep 29, 2021 6:27 AM

Reply to SAM

Now that you are writing in all caps SAM, I am going to rethink everything.

5 | 0  Reply



juno Sep 29, 2021 8:11 PM

| *Reply to SAM*

I already had it Nov 2019. I'm obviously still here to laugh at you.

an' just piss off with the fire and brimstone all caps there...

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



H a Sep 28, 2021 3:51 PM

Midazolam!

^ 7 | 0 v ➔ Reply



jef Sep 28, 2021 2:55 PM

Great list Kit. I would only add a section on therapeutics as C-19 is so easily treated that the withholding of treatment is a crime.

<https://c19early.com/>

^ 12 | 0 v ➔ Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 4:16 PM

| *Reply to jef*

While perhaps c19early may push early treatments I would also like to point out (from the top of their homepage), they also seem to push vaccines as “effective”. . .

“COVID-19 early treatment analysis. Treatments do not replace vaccines and other measures. All practical, effective, and safe means should be used. Elimination is a race against viral evolution. No treatment, vaccine, or intervention is 100% available and effective for all variants. Denying efficacy increases the risk of COVID-19 becoming endemic; and increases mortality, morbidity, and collateral damage.”

Do your own research and come to an objective decision for your particular situation.

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 5:44 PM

| *Reply to James*

I would like to add that there is, *coincidentally*, another “Covid-19 Early Treatment Fund (CETF)” that is apparently *not affiliated* with the link that was posted but carries the same name minus the “Fund” part and “is administered by Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors”

<https://www.treatearly.org>

Do we still today trust the Rockefeller Foundation?

Do your research and come to your own conclusions.

Thanks.

^ 2 | 0 v ➡ Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 6:03 PM

| *Reply to James*

To note. The link @jef posted is represented on Twitter as @covidanalysis and has had it's account suspended...

<https://mobile.twitter.com/CovidAnalysis>

More mystery to this case. Could it be perhaps because they show studies on Ivermectin, or vitamins? I don't know. Perhaps, CETF didnt like that?

In my opinion, based upon my own research, I have a hard time these days to trust any pharmaceuticals.

We should instead, in my opinion be focusing on holistic remedies as of which I am still studying. I currently feel there may be some kind of balance between all three of holistic, homeopathic and allopathic.

^ 4 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Thomas Eck Sep 28, 2021 12:11 PM

What about patents for the treatment of Covid?Who owns them?

What kind of compensation is being or will be received.

Was the virus itself patented?

Why did the U.S. / Fauci send money to a Wuhan lab OWNED BY THE CHINESE MILITARY.??

^ 7 | 0 v ➡ Reply



John Vaughan Sep 28, 2021 11:27 AM

Fantastic effort, thanks. I think the biggest issue missed is the way that the 'narrative', symbolised by the mask, is creating a climate and culture of fear in all children worldwide.

^ 12 | 0 v ➡ Reply



juno Sep 29, 2021 8:26 PM

| *Reply to John Vaughan*

I see that. Children telling other children that they cannot play unless they are masked. Pure evil.

0 | 0 Reply



Brian Murphy Sep 28, 2021 6:42 AM

More needs to be said on adverse injection reactions:

Steve Kirsch's documented research

<http://www.skirsch.com/covid/ACIP.pdf>

Steve Kirsch website

<https://www.skirsch.io/>

Steve Kirsch presentation of research

<https://rumble.com/vm8ayu-tfnt-1-covid-vaccines-have-killed-over-200000-americans.html>

Personal testimonies

<https://1000covidstories.com/>

<https://nomoresilence.world>

<https://thecovidworld.com>

News, media, social media

<https://files.catbox.moe/mj44w3.pdf>

Israel testimonies

<https://www.vaxtestimonies.org/en/>

Retired British GP research

<https://vernoncoleman.org/articles/how-many-people-are-vaccines-killing>

Government sites

<https://openvaers.com>

<https://vaers.hhs.gov>

<https://yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk>

Searchable Yellow Card:

<https://yellowcard.ukcolumn>.

EUdravigilance:

<https://www.adrreports.eu/en/eudravigilance.html>

<https://www.adrreports.eu/en/index.html>

WHO's own tracker – enter product name when searching so enter “Comirnaty” – results shown are for all Covid vaccines registered:

<http://vigiaccess.org/>

Brazil releases data – <https://www.marktaliano.net/over-32000-people-dead-in-brazil-following-covid-19-vaccines-according-to-official-media-report/>

Australia releases data...

<https://www.tga.gov.au/reporting-suspected-side-effects-associated-covid-19-vaccine>

^ 11 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 6:24 AM

Probably a good idea to speak about informed consent (and censoring truth), natural immunity (being superior to artificially acquired “immunity”) and more. However, the crib sheet could get VERY long. Great job on this – and thank you.

^ 18 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 6:21 AM

And here's another from the famed NWO operative Kissinger:

“Once the herd accepts mandatory forcible vaccination, it's game over!

They will accept anything – forcible blood or organ donation – for the “greater good.”

We can genetically modify children and sterilize them – for the “greater good.”

Control sheep minds and you control the herd. Vaccine makers stand to make billions, and many of you in this room today are investors. It's a big win-win!

We thin out the herd and the herd pay us for extermination services.”

~ Dr Henry Kissinger

^ 9 | -1 v ➔ Reply



Ben Sep 28, 2021 10:42 AM

Reply to Phillis Stein

Dear Phillis, could you be kind to provide any external link to this quotation ?
As there is nothing that would make me happier to know that this is true, I just couldn't find any relevant or original source of this claims, no matter how much I search. Only hear and say.

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Waldorf Sep 28, 2021 2:51 PM

| Reply to Ben

Perhaps Kissinger thinks that way, but actually saying so? I doubt it.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Barney Rubble Sep 28, 2021 11:01 PM

| Reply to Ben

So searching around for this I found that this is a particular subject that is on the "fake-checkers" radar and they are combating it. But I did find this brilliant nugget.

https://larouchepub.com/eiw/public/1981/eirv08n10-19810310/eirv08n10-19810310_028-the_haig_kissinger_depopulation.pdf

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 6:20 AM

I find that you can learn an awful lot from quotations – so, here is one for you that should explain what is going on to anyone with any doubt:

"The future will be about finding a way to reduce the population...Of course, we will not be able to execute people or build camps. We get rid of them by making them believe it is for their own good... We will find or cause something, a pandemic targeting certain people, a real economic crisis or not, a virus affecting the old or the elderly, it doesn't matter, the weak and the fearful will succumb to it. The stupid will believe in it and ask to be treated. We will have taken care of having panned the treatment, a treatment that will be the solution. The selection of idiots will therefore be done by itself: they will go to the slaughterhouse alone."

~ from a 1981 book by Bilderberger Jacques Attali

Jacques was an advisor to President Mitterand of France in the 80s

^ 7 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Dyana Hilliard Sep 28, 2021 12:12 PM

| Reply to Phillis Stein

Don't forget that "climate change" will be an important tool for reducing the world population!

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Jan Z Sep 28, 2021 3:31 AM

It would be good to mention the role of Remdesivir in people dying while ventilated with the link to kidney and other organ failure and the rush to market of this product.

^ 12 | 0 v ➔ Reply



timfrom Sep 28, 2021 2:21 AM

Very useful, thanks, but a crib-sheet is supposed to be small and concise. Something you can keep up your sleeve. You wouldn't very easily be able to get this one out in an exam!

^ 1 | -6 v ➔ Reply



Not So Free Sep 28, 2021 4:05 AM

| Reply to *timfrom*

Copy and paste it without the pictures and video. Print it small. That's what I did.

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Jeff Blackmon Sep 28, 2021 1:33 AM

This NIH study shows a 100% effectiveness in nursing home residents with covid (median age of 85) using inexpensive and well-known drugs — way back in Mar-Apr of 2020. It was not even published until Jan 2021 and has received no attention in the press.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7833340/#!po=34.9057>

^ 8 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Cliff Edwards Sep 28, 2021 12:56 AM

As I said in response to a later article by Kit, it seems to me that it might be a good idea for him to have someone proofread his articles before they're published.

In the UK there was an "unprecedented" rise in...

... increased mortality from heart disease, cancer et al. in the near future.

A paper JAMA paper from March 2020 found...

Intubation tubes are a source of potential a infection known as...

While some studies have been done claiming to show mask do work for Covid...

A week ago I sent Off-G a friendly email pointing out the above errors and quite a few others, some of which, I'm happy to admit, were possibly more a matter of style and personal taste than actual "errors". Oh well, perhaps I shouldn't have bothered.

^ 16 | -3 v ➡ Reply



JimT

Sep 28, 2021 2:43 PM

| Reply to *Cliff Edwards*

A very useful way to assess the credibility of any article, at least.

^ 1 | -4 v ➡ Reply



James

Sep 28, 2021 9:42 PM

| Reply to *JimT*

While I agree with this assessment I do not think it discredits the points and sources of the article. Although those who want to discredit the article, these "errors" **will** be used.

^ 1 | -4 v ➡ Reply



Cliff Edwards

Sep 29, 2021 12:33 AM

| Reply to *James*

You write "errors" as if there might be some doubt about my examples actually containing errors. Surely this isn't what you mean?

^ 3 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Cliff Edwards

Sep 29, 2021 12:57 AM

| Reply to *JimT*

The article's *excellent*, but, unfortunately, the same cannot be said for some of the text, and this is liable to cause some of us to be less inclined to pass it on to those we think might benefit from reading it. This is because if writers can't be bothered making sure their English is up to scratch, who's to say they don't have the same lax attitude towards their "facts"?

^ 5 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Cliff Edwards

Sep 29, 2021 12:26 AM

| Reply to *Cliff Edwards*

Ha! Found another error that I'd completely missed:

A study done by Sheffield Univerisity found...

^ 4 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Jack's pulverizedrights

Sep 29, 2021 8:04 PM

| *Reply to Cliff Edwards*

For future reference, don't bother. The grammatical errors are obvious to other people as well. Far more irritating is encountering useless public spell checkery defouling perfectly legible and functional additions to the collective KnowledgeBase. Have fun wit my spelling all you want, it mostly makes you look silly and does nothing to benefit the article.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



junkemail

Sep 27, 2021 11:23 PM

A mention about the patents that were filed well in advance of this disease escaping. And some interesting players that filed them (and hold these patents still).

^ 7 | 0 v ➔ Reply



MtlQc

Sep 27, 2021 11:11 PM

Please watch the documentary The Cult of Virology on Bitchute to learn that viruses are not vectors of diseases but merely dead bio material that helps in detoxifying the body of the numerous poisons we ingest.

^ 6 | -1 v ➔ Reply



ZeroFiveSeven

Sep 27, 2021 9:55 PM

I would add to all of this the free supercomputer on which all this was developed and tested (whole covid mainpulation). For example to forecast weather you need a supercomputer on which it will be run. Supercomputers are costly and known. But greed has allowed unknown group to have a free supercomputer, most powerfull with free electricity as a bonus: bitcoin (and other coin)

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



blockchain

Sep 28, 2021 3:23 AM

| *Reply to ZeroFiveSeven*

you don't know what you are talking about. these miners are too stupid, to be even seen as a working computer.

the only thing they can do, is react on a predestinated code, chance that code, and sent it back, always the same calculation, over and over.

please check what actually is the blockchain, and what code is sitting on it....nothing

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**James**

Sep 28, 2021 9:27 PM

Reply to [blockchain](#)

It always makes the same calculation? That makes no sense. Why continue to use a computer's resources to calculate if you already have the answer from previous calculations? If this is the case then, in my opinion, the whole thing is ridiculous, "proof of work" to calculate an answer already known. What a waste no?

Miners are not a "working computer" rather a person running an actual computer? Yes, there is no code on the actual chain. But there is code running the chain no?

Perhaps, before responding in such aggressive manner you could have compassion in order to educate, and maybe others will more open to what you are saying.

I will be the first to admit that I do not understand fully the technical details behind blockchain. But, I do know it doesn't actually "hold" data but rather "points" to it. This is quite the problem no? Where is the data held?

In terms of the possible nefarious uses of blockchain I refer you to the work of Alison McDowell. wrenchinthegears.com

Recorded inputs and outputs of resources with Humans as the product (stakeholder capitalism) on a public ledger lead quite nicely to the technocrats agenda.

Please keep an open mind to all ideas, especially in these enduring times. For those of us who may be ignorant to your ideas, please be nice.

Thank you.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Fryer Tuck**

Sep 27, 2021 9:54 PM

Covid Scam-Sans Science, Sans Data, Sans Facts, "Sans Everything"; especially Rationality.
Covid=Covoodo

^ 3 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**NOS**

Sep 27, 2021 9:28 PM

Vaccine Passports, "Medical Martial Law" and the "Stockholm Syndrome"

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/vaccine-passports-medical-martial-law-and-the->

stockholm-syndrome/5740083

^ 5 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Super Sep 27, 2021 7:59 PM

It is first and foremost an in your face psyop. It is about making people so emotional that they don't care about logic or true science any more at all. It reminds me of Casa Blanca when Bogart says he came to Casa Blanca for the waters. When he is corrected and told Casa Blanca is a desert he dryly replies that he was "misinformed." Hah haha. Jokes on all of us who thought "they" were so stupid and were told to buy so much crap. It is also about dividing and conquering and separating the smarter people from those more easily swayed by propaganda people have been taught to eat up with a spoon and a fork for breakfast. They are laughing at us. What can we do? I mean seriously. Voting for Trump or watching Fox News for hours on end doesn't seem to have done a thing. I say turn to the God of your understanding. He/She is the sneakiest sneak of all IMHO.

^ 9 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Fryer Tuck Sep 27, 2021 9:59 PM

| *Reply to Super*

Concur

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Fryer Tuck Sep 27, 2021 10:04 PM

| *Reply to Super*

Super, re: "Sneakiest Sneak"?

Compare: "Time to enact our 'sneaky sneaks' plan!"

Miho Nishazumi "Girls und Panzer."

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Super Sep 28, 2021 1:28 AM

| *Reply to Fryer Tuck*

When I say God is the sneakiest of all the sneaks I guess what I mean is I spent so many years arguing and fighting and trying to figure so much out I still don't understand it all but I believe there is a bi-partisan kind of "God" that sustains everything. Turn to that and you are better off than the spiritually unwashed Hollywood-minded masses that don't realize they are under the thumb of a religion of sorts that is so greedy it won't agree to the importance of anything but its own luciferian kind of self which is very deliberately ignorant. The quote that

comes to mind is St. Augustine “I did not rest until I rested in thee” or something to that effect.

^ 2 | 0 v ➡ Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 9:47 PM

| *Reply to Super*

“When it is named “Religion” it is false.” -Anon

^ 0 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Victor G. Sep 29, 2021 7:48 PM

| *Reply to James*

“The name that can be named is not the eternal name. The nameless is the beginning of heaven and earth.”

Lao Tzu

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



J Vossos Sep 27, 2021 7:03 PM

Those are all great points and they make sense. However, the left and/or the Covidian Cult will never give you a chance to debate this stuff. They know what’s best and you are nothing but a conspiracy theorist.

^ 20 | 0 v ➡ Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 10:00 PM

| *Reply to J Vossos*

I reject the situation as a conspiracy theory. There is too much evidence showing otherwise, even outside this article, as I am sure (hope) a lot here know.

I hereby declare myself from this day forward concerning the current situation as a **“conspiracy affirmist”**.

May everyone have courage.

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Bobby Lokey Sep 29, 2021 8:23 PM

| *Reply to James*

Hey, I like that idea. “Conspiracy affirmist.” It’s opposite would be, “Conspiracy denialist.” There is also a “Conspiracy fundamentalist,” of which I am one. If it’s government-generated, then ALWAYS AND EVER look for the underlying

CONSPIRACY, because there ALWAYS is one. Well, Maybe George Washington would be the exception. But he's been dead a long time.

^ 0 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Dylan Sep 27, 2021 6:50 PM

Thank you.

^ 6 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Jean Gardiner Sep 27, 2021 6:43 PM

booster causing myocarditis ?!

^ 4 | -1 v ➡ Reply



Steve Lowe Sep 27, 2021 6:41 PM

Curious that UN officials and our own US Congress and Senate are EXEMPT from jab requirements.

^ 11 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Fryer Tuck Sep 27, 2021 10:13 PM

| *Reply to Steve Lowe*

Not so curious. Ruling Class Elites always act that way.

Which is why I would argue The Founders anticipated and tried to lay the groundwork for a little Revolution now and again.

Too bad we didn't pay enough attention.

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



David Johnson Sep 27, 2021 6:22 PM

As usual, follow the money. When someone either gets rich or an entity gets more power, then you should always be suspect. If there was no money or power to gain, covid would be a thing of the past.

^ 9 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Bill Rice Sep 27, 2021 4:51 PM

This is an excellent summary of contrarian COVID topics. Kudos to editors for publishing this. As someone who has also been researching COVID topics for more than a year, I think these two items could have been added to this list:

1) COVID almost certainly existed and was spreading (widely) in many nations in the world as early as November 2019 if not earlier.

2) The antibody tests were probably also manipulated to produce lower levels of early prevalence than actually existed. Very few people received antibody tests prior to mid-March 2020, which was probably intentional. It is important to recognize that detectable levels of IgG antibodies fade in most people within 2 to 3 months. There weren't many early serologic studies, but even the few that were done were not picking up but a fraction of the people who had probably been exposed to this virus three, four or five months before they belatedly were tested.

The virus horse was well out of the barn by the time we had lockdown in March 2020. Some officials must know this, and evidence that would prove this (which exists) is off limits to mainstream media investigation.

^ 16 | -2 v Reply



NoThanks Sep 27, 2021 9:59 PM

Reply to *Bill Rice*

COVID-19 is the name of a supposedly infectious disease, purportedly caused by the Sars-Cov-2 virus. The Sars-Cov-2 virus has never been *purified* and isolated, as admitted by any researcher who has claimed isolation, and the PCR test is only designed to detect fragments of genetic information that is not exclusive to any one virus. It does not detect a "disease" called COVID-19. Antibody tests cannot be valid for the same reason.

I'm sorry, but people who perpetuate "COVID almost certainly existed" at ANY time are part of the problem. The entire field of virology needs to be re-examined, not just the obvious nonsense in the last 18 months.

^ 21 | 0 v Reply



Kory Harris Sep 27, 2021 11:28 PM

Reply to *NoThanks*

Thank you so much for saying this. Apparently there is a lawsuit happening in Canada by a doctor that is showing how viruses have never been isolated and the the lab tests that have "proved" the existence of viruses is false scientific data... It will prove that Claude Bernard, Ethel Hume, Antoine Bechamp and many many others were right about how Dr. Pasteur published false scientific data and how virus theory is bogus.

^ 5 | 0 v Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 5:34 AM

Reply to Kory Harris

Pasteur admitted prior to his death (obviously translated from French) “the pathogen is nothing; the terrain is everything.”

^ 1 | 0 v Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 5:36 AM

Reply to Phillis Stein

So, why did Pasteur’s theory gain the ascendancy is the next question – answer – follow the Big Pharma money train!

^ 1 | 0 v Reply



James Sep 28, 2021 8:14 PM

Reply to Phillis Stein

This is quote I have been looking for deeply. I cannot find documentation, if you have an original source could you please provide it?

Thank you. To be clear, I **want** to find this source.

^ 0 | 0 v Reply



Klaas Sep 28, 2021 3:03 PM

Reply to NoThanks

Virology is a hoax, just like viruses.

^ 1 | 0 v Reply



Ricky Sep 27, 2021 12:45 PM

The Spartacus Letter on COVID is below. It is well worth reading with lots of key points and references, but it is hosted on a site with lots of pop-up spam. Click X on the pop-ups in the upper right and only click on the actual original page download button. Use the up-and-down arrows to read instead of the slider bar.

<https://www.docdroid.net/kZZXcGS/covid-19-the-spartacus-letter-pdf>

^ 5 | -2 v Reply



James Sep 27, 2021 2:25 PM

Reply to Ricky

Tested site link using Android12/vpn/privateTab/brave:

Fast loading, NO pop-up's, touch scroll, fast download. Just FYI. Thanks.

^ 1 | -1 v ➡ Reply



Barney Rubble Sep 27, 2021 4:16 PM

| *Reply to Ricky*

While there seems to be some useful aspects of the "Spartacus Letter", how does one reconcile the virus never being isolated in light of it.

^ 4 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Admin

Sophie - Admin1 Sep 27, 2021 8:14 PM

| *Reply to Ricky*

The Spartacus Letter is basically selling the reality of the 'scary new virus' narrative. Just because it claims to be subversive doesn't mean it actually is.

^ 4 | -1 v ➡ Reply



Michael Sep 27, 2021 11:36 AM

More on Sweden: for the entire summer, they averaged less than 1 death per day from covid (they openly admit that "covid deaths" there are counted as anyone with covid in the past 30 days). Even with that wide berth, less than one death per day all of summer. That's with no masks (they were never mandated and almost nobody wears them, indoors or out). never any lockdowns, very few measures (the last of which are about to be lifted), and no "covid passports" or vaccine discrimination. There's more fascinating details, but suffice it to say: any "counter-argument" you may hear about Sweden's success (they're sparsely populated, they had many more deaths than their neighbors, etc.) can be effectively and scientifically refuted.

^ 8 | -3 v ➡ Reply



Dianne Sep 27, 2021 6:16 PM

| *Reply to Michael*

Did Sweden have strict control of travel in & out of the country? My son had a Sweden roommate at college in US. At first word of Covid, his parents pulled him out & back to Sweden. Taiwan is another country that had very low cases & no shutdown or mask mandates, but strictly limited travel.

^ 1 | 0 v ➡ Reply



LtCol Kevin Loughrey Retd Sep 27, 2021 11:27 AM

Another comment. I commend you for what you are doing. It is wonderful to see. Thank you very much for your efforts.

^ 9 | -1 v ➡ Reply



LtCol Kevin Loughrey Retd

Sep 27, 2021 11:26 AM

Please see <https://kevinloughrey.com.au/COVID/Facts.html>

The table there was compiled using advice from experts around the world. The IFR for under 17 year olds is estimated to be in the vicinity of 4 per 10 million, ie, 0.00004%

I hope this is useful for you.

^ 8 | -1 v ➡ Reply



Bill Rice

Sep 27, 2021 4:34 PM

| *Reply to LtCol Kevin Loughrey Retd*

The mortality rate for “healthy” children in the UK (those without chronic or “life-altering” medical conditions) was approximately 1 in 2 million in the first year of the pandemic. This mortality taken out to four decimal points is 0.0001 percent. So mortality rate for children 0 to 17 in the first year of the pandemic was literally 0.000 percent.

Here’s the UK study I based this extrapolation on:

<https://uncoverdc.com/2021/07/30/for-majority-of-uk-children-covid-mortality-is-0-000/>

^ 5 | 0 v ➡ Reply



James

Sep 27, 2021 9:35 AM

Great job!

I cannot find the source for the first graph concerning ASMR on section 2. “There has been NO unusual excess mortality.”

Can someone help me please as it is quite an important piece of information.

^ 3 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Angela

Sep 27, 2021 2:51 PM

| *Reply to James*

Sorry, I didn’t mean to hit the negative button ... I can’t undo it 😞

^ 3 | 0 v ➡ Reply



Ort

Sep 27, 2021 8:29 PM

| *Reply to Angela*

FYI: votes *can* be undone. To remove a negative vote, just click on the upvote arrow; it will effectively cancel (remove) the unwanted downvote.

Likewise, if one wishes to undo a positive vote, click on the downvote arrow.

^ 5 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Angela Sep 27, 2021 9:53 PM

| *Reply to Ort*

Thank you! Done:)

^ 3 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Fryer Tuck Sep 27, 2021 11:05 PM

| *Reply to Angela*

I used my upvote to offset.

Te absolvo

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



James Sep 27, 2021 3:31 PM

| *Reply to James*

Why the downvote?

I thought maybe because I could have missed it on what seems to be the source given (<https://swprs.org/covid-19-mortality-overview/>). I just triple-checked and that graph does not show up. The graph itself does not give a source nor if it is global, by country etc.

I want to share the graph and have been looking for something just like it to show the people screaming at me “everyone is dying!”. But I cannot if I have no source.

So, why the downvote instead of just providing the source?

If I am missing something please correct me instead of anon downvoting. Thank you.

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Angela Sep 27, 2021 3:54 PM

| *Reply to James*

As I said, I'm sorry ... it was unintentional and I can't undo it

^ 3 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**James**

Sep 27, 2021 4:08 PM

| *Reply to Angela*

Ah, we were responding at the same time. Thanks for clarifying.

^ 3 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**James**

Sep 27, 2021 4:11 PM

| *Reply to James*

Timestamp says we werent replying at the same time but either way your comment wasnt there when i wrote my response. I wouldnt have written all that out otherwise. Perhaps it works out for the best as my question is hopefully more clear now 😊

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Ort**

Sep 27, 2021 8:31 PM

| *Reply to Angela*

Yes, you can— see my reply to your first apology. 😊

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**James**

Sep 28, 2021 1:07 PM

| *Reply to James*

Any information updates on this @offGuardian?

This article is spreading quickly and being translated globally as we speak. Every bit of information is important.

Considering the graph is the very first one presented any “independent debunkers” will probably use any unsourced material as a “debunk” and pro-covid pushers will use this graph as a source of “misinformation” and as a reason to not continue reading.

Before the article gets translated and shared even more please clarify by either correcting my mistake or by presenting the source.

Thank you for the time and otherwise seemingly thus far outstanding article.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Howard**

Sep 27, 2021 12:03 AM

How can I print out this great article? I need to hand it out to a lot of people.

^ 8 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Sam - Admin2**

Sep 27, 2021 12:58 AM

Reply to Howard

Admin

I did a quick PDF for you by saving the page on Safari browser. It's a biggish file and not ideally laid out, but here is a link you can download and in the meantime we will work on a downloadable pdf. I hope that's helpful. A2

Download here:

<https://off-guardian.org/wp-content/medialibrary/30-facts-you-NEED-to-know-Your-Covid-Cribsheet—OffGuardian.pdf>

^ 10 | -1 v Reply

**Howard**

Sep 27, 2021 1:42 AM

Reply to Sam - Admin2

Thank you very kindly. Unfortunately it is just one inch wide on the page but I will work with it. I wonder if the author has a pdf of it he could upload for us as this article is extremely important. I want to hand it out to doctors and police locally.

^ 2 | -1 v Reply

**Alex**

Sep 26, 2021 11:47 PM

The article is missing the data regarding:

1. Vaccines safty

a) vaccine adverse effects/deaths reported in VAERS db for USA

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15006>

Deaths:

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15696>

The number of deaths from covid vaccinations reported in VAERS for USA in less then 12 mths is several times more than for all other vaccines together in the last 30 years.

other references

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15762>

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15759>

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15913>

b) data on adverse effects for EU

<https://www.technocracy.news/shock-european-union-reports-1-5-million-vaccine-injuries-15472-deaths/>

c) vaccination of children (86% of children in Pfizer clinical trials experienced adverse effects)

<https://www.usatomorrow.live/health/shocking-86-of-children-suffered-an-adverse-reaction-to-the-pfizer-bs-vax-in-clinical-trial/>

2. Vaccine efficacy

Current infection rates in Israel and Singapore despite high vaccination ratio (in Singapore 82% while infection cases are currently highest ever recorded).

Israel

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=15326>

<https://youtu.be/WFph7-6t34M?t=16280>

8 | 0  Reply



Bill Rice Sep 27, 2021 4:43 PM

Reply to Alex

It is strange to me (well actually it isn't) why there have been no major mainstream media stories on the voluminous numbers of "adverse events" and deaths among the vaccinated. I have read more stories than I can count about COVID victims, but really none about the tens of thousands (hundreds of thousands, millions?) of people who have have severe negative reactions. Here's the main and sad take-away about COVID journalism: All topics that might contradict the official narrative are taboo and off-limits to inquiry. The "watchdog" press is not providing any "balance" to these stories. They are not skeptical of those who possess great power. It actually seems like these group-thinking reporters are working to conceal relevant truths and facts. This is the real untold story of COVID.

10 | 0  Reply



Phillis Stein Sep 28, 2021 5:41 AM

Reply to Bill Rice

MSM are complicit:

"We are grateful to *The Washington Post*, *The New York Times*, *Time Magazine*, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination [democracy] practiced in past centuries."

—David Rockefeller in June 5, 1991 at the Bilderberger meeting in Baden, Germany (a meeting also attended by then-Governor Bill Clinton).

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



JGuy

Sep 26, 2021 8:49 PM

The Delta Strain is the vaccine.....There is no Delta strain.

https://www.bitchute.com/video/gigUyK3yLtMU/?fbclid=IwARoEfsqVML18L-5aanNNO1OXQ4L5UD27vA1T_O6ioSQTX-F4QKghZmN4_Tw

Proof you say? You must go down the rabbit hole for the truth.....

Does the Co-Virus Exist? No.....the SARS-CoV-2 19 has not been Isolated? **Freedom of Information: There are no records of the SARS-CoV-2 isolation-purification.**

The responses to these requests confirm that there is no record of isolation / purification of SARS-CoV-2 “having been performed by anyone, anywhere, ever.”

“The 90 Health /Science institutions that have responded thus far have provided and/or cited, in total, zero such records:

Has the “Killer Virus” been Identified. Has SARS-CoV-2 been Isolated?

The CDC Acknowledges that SARS-CoV-2 has not been Isolated.https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

The official CDC document, (dated July 21, 2021) entitled “**CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel**” reads as follows:

*Since **no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV were available for CDC use at the time the test was developed** [January 2020] and this study conducted, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA (N gene; GenBank accession: MN908947.2) of known titer (RNA copies/μL) **spiked into a diluent consisting of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen.** (emphasis added, page 40)*

Compare the above statement to the CDC January 28th, 2020 advisory confirming the isolation of SARS-CoV-2:

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/does-the-virus-exist-the-sars-cov-2-has-not-been-isolated-biggest-fraud-in-medical-history/5752066>

15 | 0  Reply



JGuy

Sep 26, 2021 8:47 PM

PCR Inventor Dr. Kerry Mullis explains how his Nobel-winning test is Useless .
For Covid and can find virtually 'Anything' in the human body.....



We do not routinely perform RT-PCR on any patient who is overheated, coughing or has an inflammatory syndrome!

Oh really, just what test are they using?????

FDA announces that CDC's PCR test failed review, will have emergency use authorization revoked.

Why Is The CDC Quietly Abandoning The PCR Test For COVID?The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announced this week that its PCR test has failed its full review and will have its Emergency Use Authorization revoked.The Innova SARS-CoV-2 Antigen Rapid Qualitative Test, the CDC's benchmark COVID diagnostic testing system, will be withdrawn for Emergency Use by the end of 2021 due to an inordinate frequency of false positive and negative results.

https://www.cdc.gov/csels/dls/locs/2021/07-21-2021-lab-alert-Changes_CDC_RT-PCR_SARS-CoV-2_Testing_1.html

Numerous epidemiological experts have argued that cycle thresholds are an important metric by which patients, the public, and policymakers can make more informed decisions about how infectious and/or sick an individual with a positive COVID-19 test might be.

However, as JustTheNews reports, health departments across the country are failing to collect that data. Here are a few headlines from those experts and scientific studies:

1. Experts compiled three datasets with officials from the states of Massachusetts, New York and Nevada that conclude: "Up to 90% of the people who tested positive did not carry a virus."
2. The Wadsworth Center, a New York State laboratory, analyzed the results of its July tests at the request of the NYT: 794 positive tests with a Ct of 40: "With a Ct threshold of 35, approximately half of these PCR tests would no longer be considered positive," said the NYT. "And about 70% would no longer be considered positive with a Ct of 30! "
3. An appeals court in Portugal has ruled that the PCR process is not a reliable test for Sars-Cov-2, and therefore any enforced quarantine based on those test results is unlawful.
4. A new study from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, found that at 25 cycles of amplification, 70% of PCR test "positives" are not "cases" since the virus cannot be cultured, it's dead. And by 35: 97% of the positives are non-clinical.
5. PCR is not testing for disease, it's testing for a specific RNA pattern and this is the key pivot. When you crank it up to 25, 70% of the positive results are not really "positives" in any clinical sense, since it cannot make you or anyone else sick. So, in summary, with regard to our current "casedemic", positive tests as they are counted today do not indicate a "case" of anything.

They indicate that viral RNA was found in a nasal swab. It may be enough to make you sick, but according to the New York Times and their experts, probably won't. And certainly not sufficient replication of the virus to make anyone else sick. But you will be sent home for ten days anyway, even if you never have a sniffle. And this is the number the media breathlessly reports... and is used to fearmonger mask mandates and lockdowns nationwide...

^ 12 | -1 v ➔ Reply



Bill Rice

Sep 27, 2021 5:02 PM

| *Reply to JGuy*

I wish some reporter would analyze and report on all of the “positive” cases that occurred at colleges and among athletes and tell readers what percentage of positive tests were actually asymptomatic. As most know, last year college and pro athletes were tested as often as 3 times per week. The data on asymptomatic cases is actually known by organizations doing the testing (Including colleges), but most officials at these schools and sports leagues will NOT disclose this information. I know. I’ve asked and was told this information was either not available (certainly not true) or could not be disclosed (why?).

This mass testing – if the results had been published or shared with the public – would have shown that the rate of asymptomatic cases is close to 80 or 90 percent (not the 40 percent figure cited by the CDC). These results would also show that all of these super expensive safety protocols aren’t even necessary. All those non-sick “close contacts” forced to quarantine for 14 days could have been practicing and playing in games. All of those cancelled games did not really need to be cancelled.

Why have all this testing data if it’s not even going to be revealed to the public?

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Fryer Tuck Sep 28, 2021 12:25 AM

| *Reply to JGuy*

Which is why I call it Covoodoo.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply



John Sep 26, 2021 8:24 PM

I’m also putting together a list of the untrustworthiness of musc of the establishment and MSM News info around Cov. There’s so much out there. For example, there are endless occurrences of leftist politicians and celebrities, who push everything Covid, not following their own demands.

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



gary jenkins Sep 26, 2021 7:47 PM

requests ...

1. Therapeutics and the lack thereof (Pandemic, you got it, go home and take tylenol until we can. ventilate you)
2. Hospital occupancy (98% of ICU covid cases are unvaccinated)

^ 9 | -10 v ➔ Reply

Alex Sep 27, 2021 12:11 AM

*Reply to gary jenkins*

You may want to check your sources re your point 2.

In Ireland 54% hospitalizations are vaccinated people

<https://www.irishtimes.com/news/health/covid-54-of-hospital-patients-with-virus-are-fully-vaccinated-1.4670229>

In Israel nearly 60% hospitalizations are vaccinated people

<https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/public-health/nearly-60-of-hospitalized-covid-19-patients-in-israel-fully-vaccinated-study-finds.html>

In this hospital in Italy 100% hospitalizations are vaccinated people

<https://m.cda.pl/video/845571002>

^ 11 | -2 v ➔ Reply



Phillis Stein

Sep 28, 2021 5:51 AM

Reply to Alex

Absolutely – 2 things I can add here. The first is that they changed the definition of what it means to be “vaccinated.” Therefore, if you die within 14 days of your shot, you are counted as a “Covid death in the unvaccinated” as opposed to a “vaccine death.”

The second thing I have to add to this are a few more countries of interest. One is Gibraltar, which claims a 100% fully vaxxed pop. Their Covid cases have recently increased 2500%.

Another case in point is Singapore, again VERY highly vaxxed (more than Israel) everyone got to the 80% fully vaxxed stage and cases kept climbing, so they re-imposed damaging restrictions and moved the target up to 90% for freedom (you’ll see a lot of this “creep”).

And then we have India. This one is interesting because different states within India have taken different routes – some went fully for the vax option (e.g. some of the southern states) and others quickly switched to other methods (including Ivermectin). Uttar Pradesh, the most populous state of India, flattened their curve by using Ivermectin etc., but the states that went fully in for the shots are not faring so well.

It rather appears that the countries who solely relied on the shots are faring very poorly, which is completely unsurprising to me.

^ 2 | 0 v ➔ Reply



Dianne

Sep 27, 2021 7:57 PM

Reply to gary jenkins

I believe the parentheses intentionally give the outrageous false info we are fed, and he means to request the true stats.

^ 0 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**CneMike**

Sep 26, 2021 7:45 PM

Numbers 31 and higher should include the contents of the vaccines.

^ 7 | -1 v ➔ Reply

**Phillis Stein**

Sep 28, 2021 5:53 AM

| *Reply to CneMike*

Not all ingredients have been listed by the companies, and graphene oxide (think nanotechnology) has been found in all 4 major shots used in the west – Pfizer/NBiotech, Moderna, J & J, and AstraZeneca. GO also causes “rouleau” (nice French word for clotting).

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Alan Bryde**

Sep 26, 2021 6:57 PM

What of the number of severe cases, comas and long covid? The MSM have now shifted their narrative to this, saying this more than justifies the vaccine.

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply

**Bill Rice**

Sep 27, 2021 5:10 PM

| *Reply to Alan Bryde*

They had no choice – too many vaccinated people were contracting the virus and spreading it to others (and apparently now being hospitalized and dying). So “long COVID” became the scare story they went to.

They also did this with pediatric cases. In my state, there was a flurry of stories several weeks ago about steep rises in hospitalizations among children. It took only a cursory glance at the numbers to see that fewer than 2 percent of hospitalized cases were children ... and the group that makes up children (0 to 18) makes up about 25 percent of the total population. So 1/4 of the population comprised only 1/60th of hospitalizations ... and virtually no children were dying from COVID. But the Pediatrician Groups doubled down on the “long Covid” storyline.

^ 1 | 0 v ➔ Reply

Load More Comments



Copyright © 2021 OffGuardian.

[Privacy Policy](#).

powered by [WordPress](#) .

Theme: [OffGuardian by Wild Reality.net](#) .